

# **Arkansas Department** of Health and Human Services



#### **Division of Health**

Paul K. Halverson, DrPH, Director

Engineering Section - Environmental Health Branch - Center for Local Public Health

P. O. Box 1437, Slot H-37 Postal Address

Little Rock, AR 72203-1437

1-501-661-2623

TDD: 1-800-234-4399

Physical Address for UPS or Fedex

4815 West Markham St., Slot H-37

Little Rock, AR 72205

Fax: 1-501-661-2032

September 20, 2005

David Sbanotto P.O. Box 127 Tontitown, AR 72770

Dear Mr. Sbnotto,

Enclosed please find a copy of the sanitary survey for Tontitown Waterworks.

Please note the comments listed in the body of the survey. Be aware of a significant deficiency in that Tontitown does not enforce the cross connection control program.

Public Law 93-523 requires that the survey be kept on file for a minimum of ten years and accessible to the public.

Should you have any questions please contact me at this office.

Sincerely,

Jeff Sohl **Environmental Specialist** Division of Engineering

> www.healthyarkansas.com Serving more than one million Arkansans each year

FILE COPY
REMOVE ONLY
FOR COPYING

**Arkansas Department of Health** 

RH

**Public Water Supply Sanitary Survey** 

Name of System	Tontitown Waterworks	PWS ID <u># 566</u>
County Washing	ounty <u>Washington</u> Ite of Survey <u>February 10, 2005</u> Irvey ByJEFF SOHL	
Date of Survey	February 10, 2005	
Survey By	JEFF SOHL	
Title ENV	IRONMENTAL SPECIALIS	Т

Alternate   Po   Box   12/1 fontitionn, AR 77770   Alternate   Telephone #: (479) 361-2700   Alternate   Telephone #:   Telephone #:   E-mail Address:   E	Post   127 Tontitown, AR 72770	Alternate Telephone #	Box 127 Ton							
Alternate Telephone #:		Alternata Talanhana #		titown, AR 72	770					
Alternate Telephone #:		Alternata Talanhana #	tto Licens	se #: <u>04815</u>	5 D4		Telepho	ne #: <u>(479</u>	) 361-2700	
Maximum System Capacity	Der of Licensee Employees: 2	701	•	ا ۱۰ الم')	470) V7	7 NS 82 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	144		
Maximum System Capacity	Der of Licensee Employees: 2	atment Plant Supervisor	or:	Lic	ense #:	· Y:	Telepho	ne #: <u>SAM</u>	<u>IE</u>	
Maximum System Capacity   System Storage 0 MGD   Master Meter   1988   1   Surface Purchase		mber of Licensed Emp	lovees: 2	# of Treatm	ent Lico	L10	ense #: <u>048</u>	15 D2	_1 elephone	e#: "°
	Services:	<i>vor/</i> Chairman/Presider	ot/Other Paul	Maestri (H) T	elenhone	# (479) 3	# 01 DISU 861-2700	IOULION LIC	censes:	
Maximum System Capacity   System Segment   Capacity   Plant Name & ID   Imiting   System Segment   Plant Name & ID   Contact   Ster Meter South(2)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   PWS ID # Status   PWS ID # Statu	Maximum System Capacity:   0,33   MGD (All Plants)	dress: same (W) T	elephone			<u> 1.172) 3</u>	701 2700	* *		
Maximum System Capacity   System Segment   Capacity   Plant Name & ID   Imiting   System Segment   Plant Name & ID   Contact   Ster Meter South(2)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   111,111   Contract   Ster Meter Middle (3)   PWS ID # Status   PWS ID # Statu	Maximum System Capacity:   0,33   MGD (All Plants)	Services: 728 %M	etered: 1	00 Total Pop.	Served:	<u>1967</u> R	Retail Pop. S	Served:	Consecutiv	e Pop. Serve
County Name   County Name   County Name   County Name   County Name   County Code #: 72	County Name   Washington   County Code #: 72	omestic: 598 # C	ommerciai:	122 # W	/noiesaie	e: ()	# Industria	J- ()	# Irrigati	on· Q
Plant Name & ID	Plant Name & ID	neering District: 1	Co	unty Name:	Washing	gton		Co	unty Code #	t: 72
Master Meter   1974   1   Surface Purchase	Master Meter   101   Master Meter   1974   1   Surface Purchase	ibing Inspector: Stev	e Sabo	-				Lic	ense #:	IC 1177
Master Meter   101   Master Meter   1974   1   Surface Purchase	Master Meter   101   Master Meter   1974   1   Surface Purchase	701 ( NY 0 TD		C.D.L.	T =					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Master Meter   102   Master Meter   1988   1   Surface Purchase	Maximum System Capacity:	<del></del>			Con	struction Da	ate # of			
Maximum System Capacity:	Maximum System Capacity:									
Maximum System Capacity:	Maximum System Capacity:									
Maximum System Capacity:	Maximum System Capacity:	aster Meter 103	Iviasiei ivi	.6161	2000				Surface Pr	urchase
Maximum System Capacity:	Maximum System Capacity:			86	<del> </del>					
Production Figures   System Segment   Capacity   Limiting   Factor   Factor   MGD   Maximum Demand   Average Demand   Population   Served	Production Figures   System Storage   MGD   System Storage   MGD   System Segment   Capacity   Limiting   Factor   MGD   Maximum Demand   Average Demand   Served   Served   Ster Meter North (1)   111,111   Contract   8   0.1   90 %   0.055   55 %   1967		1						i	,
Plant Name & ID   (MGD)   Factor   (MGD)   %Cap.   (MGD)   %Cap.   Served	Plant Name & ID   (MGD)   Factor   (MGD)   %Cap.   (MGD)   %Cap.   Served	e) <sup>6</sup> ,		em Storage <u>0</u>	_MGD	Useable		-	nts)	
Ster Meter South(2)	Ster Meter South(2)		Total Syste	em Storage <u>0</u> Pr	_MGD	Useable 1 Figures	System Sto	orage <u>o</u> M	nts) (GD	
Ster Meter Middle (3)	Ster Meter Middle (3)	System Segment Plant Name & ID	Total Syste  Capacity (MGD)	em Storage <u>0</u> Pr Limiting Factor	_MGD	Useable Figures Maximur	System Sto	orage <u>o</u> M	nts) (GD e Demand	Population
		System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1)	Capacity (MGD)	Pr Limiting Factor Contract	MGD oduction Code	Useable  Figures  Maximum  (MGD)  0.1	n Demand %Cap.	Average (MGD) 0.055	e Demand %Cap. 55 %	Population Served
	1	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1	n Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %	Population Served
Mary System   333,333   0.3   90 %   0.165   55 %   1967	Status   System   333,333   Status   Status	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1	n Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % 90 %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %	Population Served
Mary System   333,333   0.3   90 %   0.165   55 %   1967	Name	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % 90 %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand %Cap. 55 % 55 %	Population Served
Status	PWS ID #   Status	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % 90 % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %   55 %   %   4 * %	Population Served
%     %       %     %       %     %       %     %       %     %       %     %       %     %		System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % % % % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     4 %     %	Population Served 1967
%     %       %     %       %     %       %     %       %     %		System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % % % % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     4 %     %	Population Served 1967
%     %       %     %       %     %       %     %	strial Demand (Status: P-Primary, E-Emergency, I-Intermittent, O-Other)	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % % % % 90 % % % % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     4 %     55 %     55 %	Population Served 1967
% % % % %	strial Demand (Status: P-Primary, E-Emergency, I-Intermittent, O-Other)	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % % % % % 90 % % % % % % % % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand %Cap. 55 % 55 % 6 % 6 % 7 % 7 % 8 %	Population Served 1967
% %	strial Demand % %  (Status: P-Primary, E-Emergency, I-Intermittent, O-Other)	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	## System Storm ## Demand ## Cap. ## 90 % ## 90 % ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	mts) [GD  e Demand   %Cap.   55 %   55 %   %   * %   * %   * %   * %   %   %   %	Population Served 1967
	strial Demand (Status: P-Primary, E-Emergency, I-Intermittent, O-Other)	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	## System Storm  ## Demand    %Cap.	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     %     %     %     %     %     %	Population Served 1967
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	System Sto	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %	Population Served 1967
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ecounted-for Wateri () X3 %	System Segment Plant Name & ID ster Meter North (1) ster Meter South(2) ster Meter Middle (3) mary System nsecutive Systems	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	oduction Code 8 8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.3	System Sto	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     6 %     7 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %	Population Served 1967
accounted for Water 0.92 %	Estimated X Calculated	System Segment Plant Name & ID aster Meter North (1) aster Meter South(2) aster Meter Middle (3) amary System ansecutive Systems ustrial Demand	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	oduction Code 8 8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.3	System Sto	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     6 %     7 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %     9 %	Population Served 1967
Ctatura D. D. T. T. T. Y. Y.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	System Segment	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract	MGD oduction Code 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	m Demand %Cap. 90 % 90 % % % % %	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     4 %     %	Popu Ser 19
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	System Segment Plant Name & ID aster Meter North (1) aster Meter South(2) aster Meter Middle (3)	Capacity (MGD) 111,111 111,111	Pr Limiting Factor Contract Contract Contract	MGD  oduction  Code  8 8 8	Useable  Figures  Maximum (MGD)  0.1  0.1  0.1	System Sto	Average (MGD) 0.055 0.055	e Demand   %Cap.   55 %     55 %     55 %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %     %	Populati Served 1967

Name of System: Tontitown Waterworks	PWS#	566
Purchase Source		
Source Entity ID #: 101 Source:(# 1 of 3	<u>:</u> )	
PWS Source Name Springdale Water		
PWS ID #: 575 Maximum Purchase Agreement 0.111 MGD		
Yes X ☐ 1. Are maximum purchase agreements adequate? X ☐ 2. Has the system been free from shortages of source in the past? X ☐ 3. Does source system have adequate emergency plan?		
Y 4 Is source system's overall operation in accordance with the regulations?		
X 5. Is master meter read routinely and reading recorded?		
X 6. Is connection to source system adequate?		
Comments:		
Source Entity ID #:301 Source:(# <u>2</u> of )	<u>3</u> _)	·
PWS Source Name: Springdale Water		
PWS ID #: 575 Maximum Purchase Agreement: 0.111 MGD		
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>X</li> <li>I</li> <li>1. Are maximum purchase agreements adequate?</li> <li>X</li> <li>2. Has the system been free from shortages of source in the past?</li> <li>X</li> <li>J</li> <li>Does source system have adequate emergency plan?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Is source system's overall operation in accordance with the regulations?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Is master meter read routinely and reading recorded?</li> <li>X</li> <li>6. Is connection to source system adequate?</li> </ul>		
Comments:		
Source Entity ID #:201 Source:(#_3 of 3  PWS Source Name: Springdale Water  PWS ID #: 575 Maximum Purchase Agreement: 0.111 MGD	_)	
<ul> <li>Yes X</li> <li>X</li> <li>I. Are maximum purchase agreements adequate?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Z. Has the system been free from shortages of source in the past?</li> <li>X</li> <li>X</li> <li>Does source system have adequate emergency plan?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Is source system's overall operation in accordance with the regulations?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Is master meter read routinely and reading recorded?</li> <li>X</li> <li>Is connection to source system adequate?</li> </ul>		
Comments:		

Name of System: Tontitown Waterv	vorks					PWS #	566
varie of System.	<u>T</u>	reatme (Short (Pag	Form)	<u>int</u>			Plant:(# <u>1</u> of _
Plant ID # Plant Name:							
Plant Location:(	Give directions from	n major 1	oad/stre	eet or h	ighway intersection.)		
Purpose X Disinfection  Fluoridate  Fluoridate	tion 🔲 Iron/Manş	ganese Co	ontrol	☐ Co	rrosion Control		
Treatment Processes (Provide System X No Treatment Provided Aeration: Cascade/Tray [Disinfection / Pre Intermed Oxidation Type: Cl <sub>2</sub> Gas Hypod	Forced/Induced diate Final chlorite Ozone Acid Sodium	& Locate  Draft Breace Silicoflu	Chemic Pres	sure Chlorir Chlo Sodi	Approved Capacity nation Booster (stramines UV ium Fluoride athorization:	Indicate o	MGD n Flow Schemat
Corrosion Control: pH Adjustn	nent			Corros	sion inhibitor		
Clearwell: # / Name	Capacity		ensions	s (ft.) Dia.	Total Depth (ft.)		um Operating epth (ft.)
	(gallons)	L	W	Dia.	(11.)		opon (xoo)
parameters to e  Sedimentati  Sedimentati  1.1 Is operation and  1.2 Is the finished w  1.3 Is site free from  1.4 Is finished water  1.5 Is standby or aut  1.6 Is master meter  1.7 Are structures at  1.8 Are instrumenta  1.9 Backwash water  2. Is adequate dis  2.1 Has disinfection  2.2 Are operational  1.3 Has fluoride res  4 Are alarms wit	ensure water quality on Filtration maintenance of univater quality satisfact outside contaminater pumping capacity xiliary power availance and operated grounds satisfact tion and controls action in the standby equipment i	ty? Dist process tory? ion? (i.e adequate ble and cole? ory? equate an N/A) If ovided to provided ed at option systems v	Aeratio infection es satist aerial character no, who meet Character during or critic mum le natic sh when pl:	n	cycle fed	ooding, e	% of influent (X N/A)
D	Ovolity		rocess A	Alarms	Auto-dial	er A	uto-Shutdown
Process or Water Parameter Mon	itored L	ow_		High	(Yes/No)		(Yes/No)
		<del></del>					
Comments:							

Name of System: Tontitown Waterworks PWS # 566	Name of System: To	ontitown Waterworks		_PWS #	566
------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--	--------	-----

### Monitoring, Reporting, and Data Verification

	Laboratory Testing & Equipment								
Lab Tests	Frequency	Sample Location	Method	Make & Model #					
CHLORINE	DAILY	SITE PLAN ATTACHEI	Color comparer	Hach CN67					
			_						

Calibration Records							
	Calibration	Date Last Calibrated	Are Calibration	Field Verification			
	Frequency		Logs Available	ADH Results	System Results		
Turbidimeters							
pH Meters							
Disinfectant Analyzers				0.7 PPM	0.6 PPM		

Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A	<u>4</u>	
• X			1.	Are laboratory facilities, testing equipment, and procedures, accurate, adequate, and operable?
X			1.1	Are records of lab tests being maintained?
X			1.2	Do reagents used have an unexpired shelf life?
		X	1.3	Are continuous turbidimeters and recorders provided on each filter?
Ħ		X	1.4	Is continuous chlorine analyzer and recorder provided on plant effluent?
X	Ī		2.	Is all routine compliance monitoring up-to-date? (Check monitoring status report.)
X	Ħ		2.1	Are the proper numbers of bacti samples being collected? Number required? 3
П		X	2.2	For surface systems with conventional treatment, is raw water alkalinity being monitored?
		X	2.3	For systems using chlorine dioxide, are daily entry point analysis for ClO <sub>2</sub> residual and Chlorite being collected and reported?
X			3.	Is the system monitored according to ADH approved methods and sample site plan(s)? X Bacti  CT X Disinfectant Residual  THM  HAA5  ClO <sub>2</sub> Residual Distribution System Samples (N/A)  Chlorite Distribution System Samples (N/A)
X			4.	Is the system in compliance with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the Lead and Copper Rule as outline in their approved Optimal Corrosion Control and Treatment plan?
	$\Box$	X	5.	Are fluoride check samples submitted monthly?
Ħ	Ħ	X	6.	Are daily fluoride analyses performed, results recorded, and submitted monthly?
• X	Ħ		7.	Does the system accurately complete Monthly Operational Report forms?
X	Ħ		7.1	Has the system submitted Monthly Operational Report forms on time?
X	H		7.2	Does the system have the proper records on file and available for review? X Sanitary Surveys
2 %				X Bacteriological and Chemical Analysis Reports Source Water Assessment Report
				X Sample Site Plans
Com	ment	s:		

Name	e of System	:T	ontitown Waterworks	PWS #	566
			<u>Distribution System</u>		
• Yes X	No 🗌	1.	Are pressures in all portions of the system maintained above 20 psi dur If no, give reason:	ing peak demai	nd?
• X X X		2. 3. 3.1 4.	Is a detectable disinfectant residual level maintained in all portions of the sufficient number of valves provided, properly located, and are they accompose the system have a valve exercise / replacement program?  What piping materials are used? (Estimate percentage) DI/CI DI/CI	cessible?	anized
X X X X X X		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Has the distribution system been free of water quality problems?  Does the system have an adequate maintenance and flushing program?  Are mains and appurtenances properly flushed, disinfected and tested after r Is a licensed plumbing inspector available?  Does the system have a meter replacement program?  Does the system have a leak detection program?  Is the overall condition of the distribution system acceptable?	epairs or extensi	ions?
Com	ments:				
			Cross-Connection Control		
Yes X	No N/A X	2.	Does the system have an active Cross-Connection Control Program?  Who is responsible for the Cross Connection Control Program?David Sband Does the governing body have an ordinance, by-law or written resolution specross connection control?  Is the system requiring annual testing of backflow preventers and keeping real in the system free of high-hazard unprotected cross-connections? Treal Pumping Facilities X Distribution  Is a Cross-Connection Control Program being enforced for high-hazard so Have all commercial and industrial customers been surveyed?	ecifically address cords of the tests tment Plant	
	nents: ow prevent	Ton	itown, is not presently enforcing a Cross Connection Control Program. Ed C gram for the Arkansas Department of Health has been notified.	raig, who is in c	harge of the

Nam	e of	Systen	n: Tontitown Waterworks		_PWS #	566			
			System Opera	ations & Management					
Iden	tify t	the mar	nagement structure of water system.						
<u>May</u>	or/C	<u>'ouncil</u>	Board of Directors Commission	Other					
		Γ	MEMBERS NAME	TITLE					
			Paul Maestri	Mayor					
		F	Leon Zulpo	Alderman					
			Art Penzo	Alderman					
			Henry Piazza	Alderman					
			Andrew Penzo	Alderman		<u></u>			
•			Ken Rob	Alderman					
			Steve Smith	Alderman					
			Kevin Roggin	Alderman					
Yes X X X	$\frac{N_0}{X}$	1. 2. 3. 4.	Is a current (i.e. less than 10 years old) Long-F  Long Range Plan (Date  A written emergency plan is on file at the water The emergency plan is up to date and contains  Management provides the necessary budget regulatory requirements and provide for th  Adequate budget  Sufficient / Quality  Other  Have all major modifications (since previous see		ance or repa	tir parts to meet ig water.			
X X	□ X	<ul><li>5.</li><li>6.</li><li>7.</li></ul>	Are the systems records being maintained according Maintenance and repair records  Is the maximum demand less than 80 percent of actions. This is under review with Springdale	ording with regulatory requirements?  System maps  Goestian Goesti					
X		8.	If the system has greater than 15% unaccounter actions $(N/A)$	If the system has greater than 15% unaccounted for water, are corrective actions being taken? Discuss corrective					
X		9.	Has the system been free of any violations sind  TCR MRDL IOC VOC THM ( N/A) HAA5 ( N/A) Combined filter turbidity ( N/A) P	Has the system been free of any violations since the last survey?  TCR					
X		10. 11.	Is system's Disinfection By-Product levels less last survey? TTHM HAA5 Br. What is the required license grade level for thi	omate ( N/A) Chlorite ( N/A) s system? Treatment None Distrib					
X		12.	Does system have a completed source water as	ssessment?					
X		13.	Is source water assessment report on file and a	accessible to the public?					
Com	men	nts:							

Public Water	· Supply	Sanitary	Survey
--------------	----------	----------	--------

#### Arkansas Department of Health

Name of	System: Tontitown Waterworks		PWS #	566
. <del>-</del>		<b>Operator Certifica</b>	<u>tion</u>	
х П х П	required State certification.  2. Are all persons making individu	nal judgements that affect water number of licensed staff to pe	erform all water quality related duties	
	Operator	Title	License #	
	David Sbanotto	Chief Operator	04815 D4	
Comments	: System only has one operator	. Additional qualified staff are	needed.	

#### **Contact Information**

Emergency Contact Person: David Sbanotto Emergency Contact Phone Number: (479) 877-0582

Type Code	Contact Name	Title	Mailing Address	City	State	Zip Code	E-Mail
ABO Z\$R	David Sbanotto	Operator	PO Box 127	Tontitown	AR	72770	

Type Codes:

- A Primary Contact; B Bacteriological Sample Bottle Mailing; \$ Billing; O System Owner / Responsible Party;
- Z-Administrative Address; F-Fax; M-Mobile Phone; G-Pager; W-World Wide Web Site; I-Internet E-Mail
- $R- {\rm Operator}; \ T- {\rm Water\ Treatment\ Plant\ /\ Facility}; \ \ D- {\rm Distribution\ Facility}; \ \ P- {\rm Pumping\ Facility}; \ S- {\rm Storage\ Facility}; \ \ P- {\rm Pumping\ Facilit$
- L-Location; E-Employee; V-Vendor; X-Other

# Tontitown Waterworks Schematic

